

ARGUMENTS/REMARKS

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application, and for the personal interview conducted on January 10, 2006. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action and interview, and amended in response thereto.

Claims 1–17 remain in this application. Applicant's representative notes that at the personal interview, the Examiner agreed to withdraw the finality of the action.

Claims 1–6 were again rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Portuesi (U.S. 6,499,057) in view of the GIS article (Internet GIS and Its Applications in Transportation). For the following reasons, the rejection is respectfully traversed.

At the personal interview, the Examiner agreed to withdraw the finality of the previous Office action because an explanation of the claim language by the applicant's representative helped the Examiner understand the current claims. It was pointed out that the claims basically recite that an image coded in a still picture format is re-coded into a moving picture format for transmission to a device capable of displaying the moving picture coding format for displaying a still picture on the device. The Examiner agreed that the prior art does not teach such a feature, with the claims interpreted in this manner.

However, also during the interview, it was determined that amending the claims to include the term "transforming" (or a variation thereof) would help clarify the claim language and make them more easily understood. Accordingly, these amendments to the claims have been provided for clarification purposes only, and the amended claims overcome the cited prior art, none of which teach transforming an image from a still picture format to a moving picture format used for displaying a still picture.

In consideration of the foregoing analysis, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 32626.

Respectfully submitted,
PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

By: 

Robert F. Bodi – Reg. No. 48,540

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

Date: January 26, 2006